



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed about the unit for the secondary emissions for the dielectric material which has been objected to in the previous office action mailed on 10/28/2009. Applicants' clarified that the secondary emissions for the dielectric material as claimed in claim 8 is consistent with the specification and further states that the secondary emissions does not have a "unit" and are dimensionless. The argument deemed to be persuasive and therefore, the objection of claim 8 has been withdrawn.